arXiv:1612.02201v1 [math.RA] 7 Dec 2016

FINITE PRESENTATION IS A MORITA INVARIANT PROPERTY

ADEL ALAHMADI AND HAMED ALSULAMI

Abstract. We prove that the property of an algebra to be finitely presented is Morita invariant.

1. Introduction

Let F be a field. Throughout the paper we consider associative unital $F-$ algebras. Two algebras A and B are called Morita equivalent if their categories of left modules are equivalent. We say that a property P is Morita invariant if any two Morita equivalent algebras do satisfy or do not satisfy P simultaneously.

In [MS] S. Montgomery and L. Small proved that finite generation is a Morita invariant property. In this note we show that the property of having a finite presentation is also Morita invariant.

2. Main Result

Theorem 1. *Finite presentation is a Morita invariant property.*

Let's recall some definitions. Let A be an F- algebra generated by a finite collection of elements $a_1, \cdots, a_m$. Consider the free associative algebra $F\langle x_1, \cdots, x_m\rangle$ and the homomorphism

$$F\langle x_1, \cdots, x_m\rangle \xrightarrow{\varphi} A, x_i \to a_i, 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

We say that the algebra A is finitely presented (f.p.) if the ideal $I = \ker \varphi$ is finitely generated as an ideal. This property does not depend on a choice of a generating system of A as long as this system is finite. If the ideal I is generated by elements $f_1(x_1, \cdots, x_m), \cdots, f_s(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ then we say that the algebra A has presentation

$$A = \langle x_1, \cdots, x_m | f_1 = 0, \cdots, f_s = 0\rangle.$$

It is easy to see that the ideal I is not finitely generated if and only it is a union of a strictly ascending chain of ideals $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \cdots$ of the algebra $F\langle x_1, \cdots, x_m\rangle$.

Key words and phrases. associative algebra, finitely presented algebra,

Equivalently, the algebra A is not finitely presented if and only if there exists a sequence of m- generated algebras A_i and epimorphisms $A_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} A_{i+1}, \ker\varphi_i \neq (0), i \geq 1$, such that A is the inductive limit of this sequence.

More precisely, consider the subalgebra $\hat{A} = \{\{a_i\}_{i\geq 1} | a_i \in A_i, a_{i+1} = \varphi_i(a_i), i \geq 1\}$ of the Cartesian product $\sqcap_{i\geq 1} A_i$. Let J be the ideal of the algebra $\hat{A}$ that consists of sequences $\{a_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ such that $a_i = 0$ for all sufficiently large i. Then $A \cong \hat{A}/J$.

Lemma 1. *Let A be a finitely generated algebra and let $M_n(A)$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over A. The algebra $M_n(A)$ is f.p. if and only if the algebra A is f.p.*

Proof. Let $A = \langle x_1, \cdots, x_m | f_1(x_1, \cdots, x_m) = 0, \cdots, f_s(x_1, \cdots, x_m) = 0\rangle$ be a finite presentation of the algebra A. Then the matrix algebra $M_n(A)$ has a presentation

$$M_n(A) = \langle x_1, \cdots, x_m, y_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \mid y_{ij}y_{kl} = \delta_{jk}y_{il},$$
$$x_i = y_{11}x_i\ y_{11}, f_t(x_1, \cdots, x_m) = 0, 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq s\rangle.$$

Suppose on the other hand that the algebra A is not finitely presented. Then there exists a sequence of m- generated algebras A_i and epimorphisms
$A_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} A_{i+1}, \ker\varphi_i \neq (0), i \geq 1$, with A as the inductive limit.

An epimorphism φ_i gives rise to the epimorphism $M_n(A_i) \to M_n(A_{i+1})$ with nonzero kernel. The inductive limit of this system of homomorphisms is $M_n(A)$ which implies that the algebra $M_n(A)$ is not finitely presented. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

□

An idempotent e of an algebra A is said to be full if $AeA = A$. It is known (see [L], prop.18.33) that algebras A, B are Morita equivalently if and only if $B \cong eM_n(A)e$, where $n \geq 1$ and e is a full idempotent of the matrix algebra $M_n(A)$.

In [AA], we proved that if A is a f. p. algebra and $e, 1-e \in A$ are full idempotents then the Peirce component eAe is f.p.

If we drop the assumption that the idempotent $1-e$ is full then Theorem 1 follows immediately. We will drop it first for the particular case when eAe is isomorphic to the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over some algebra, $n \geq 2$.

Lemma 2. *Let A be a f.p. algebra with a full idempotent e. Suppose that $B = eAe \cong M_n(C), n \geq 2$, for some associative algebra C. Then the algebra B is f. p.*

Proof. Let $e_{ij}, 1 \leq i,j \leq n$, be matrix units of the algebra B, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{ii} = e$. Consider the idempotent e_{11}. We have $Ae_{11}A \supseteq Be_{11}B = B$. This implies that the idempotent e_{11} is full. On the other hand $(1-e_{11})e_{22} = e_{22}$, hence $A(1-e_{11})A \supseteq Be_{22}B = B$, which implies that the idempotent $1-e_{11}$ is full as well. By [AA] the algebra $e_{11}Ae_{11} \cong C$ is f.p. Hence by Lemma 1 the algebra B is f. p. as well, which completes the proof of the Lemma.

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Let A, B be Morita equivalent algebras. Suppose that the algebra A is f. p. The algebras $A, B^{'} = M_2(B)$ are also Morita equivalent. There exists $n \geq 1$ and a full idempotent e of the matrix algebra $M_n(A)$ such that $B^{'} \cong eM_n(A^{'}e)$. By Lemma 2 the algebra $B^{'}$ is f. p. Hence by Lemma 1 the algebra B is f. p. as well, which completes the proof of theorem 1. □

Acknowledgement

This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, under Grant No. (27-130-36-HiCi). The authors, therefore, acknowledge technical and financial support of KAU.

References

[AA] A. Alahmadi, H. Alsulami, *On finitely presented algebras,* to appear in J. Algebra and Appl.

[L] T. -Y. Lam, *Lectures on Modules and Rings,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 189, Springer-Verlas, New York, 1999.

[MS] S. Montogomery, L.W. Small, *Some remarks on affine rings,* Proc. AMS 98(1986), 537-544.

Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O.Box 80203, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: analahmadi@kau.edu.sa

Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O.Box 80203, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: hhaalsalmi@kau.edu.sa